

QUESTIONNAIRE NUMBER 2

Q.1A HOW LONG HAVE YOU LIVED IN THE CIVIL PARISH OF TURNERS HILL

Of the 20 forms completed at the Fete people have lived here as follows in years:

1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 12 ( 2 people), 13½, 14, 15 (2 people), 16, 23, 25, 27, 30 (2 people), 42.

1 person did not complete any questions but commented on road - not known if live in parish

1 person lives in Cophthorne but commented on highway scheme

Q.1B WHAT AGE GROUP ARE YOU

18-25	25-35	35-45	45-55	55-65	65-75	75+
1	0	3	3	5	5	1

Age not given by 1 person

Q.2. PLEASE TICK YOUR HIGHEST TWO PRIORITIES

HOUSING	<input type="checkbox"/>	3	a
LEISURE & HEALTH	<input type="checkbox"/>	4	b
ENVIRONMENT	<input type="checkbox"/>	16	c
HIGHWAYS	<input type="checkbox"/>	15	d

Age N/K	18-25	25-35	35-45	45-55	55-65	65-75	75+
bd	cd	0	cd, cd,ac	cd, acd, 0	cd, cd, cd,	cd,cd,cd	cd
					cd, bcd	ad, bd	

1 not completed

AGE

18-25	<b>community &amp; keeping green areas</b> <b>Agreed - already to be included in the NP</b>
55-65	<b>bus service improvement</b> <b>Issue recognised and currently under discussion</b>
55-65	<b>ATM provision and better PO facilities</b> <b>Agreed would be of benefit and will be considered</b>
55-65	<b>get a better range of shops that are useful to everybody so no need for older people to travel out of village</b> <b>Not sure what other shops would be viable or are needed. Continue to work to maintain those shops we do have</b>
55-65	<b>get Doctors to do at least 2 sessions per week in village</b> <b>Agreed this is an issue and will be holding discussions on this</b>
65-75	<b>dedicated health services i.e.. Doctors surgery</b> <b>Agreed this is an issue and will be holding discussions on this</b>
65-75	<b>generation of electricity for the village as a whole at the school</b> <b>Ways to provide electricity being considered but not via school</b>
65-75	<b>reduce traffic</b> <b>Agreed is a need but to great extent beyond our control. We are however looking at ways of improving safety for pedestrians and motorists which will slow traffic</b>
65-75	<b>30 mph speed limit</b> <b>Looking to provide 20mph zone in centre of village, other roads currently being considered by WSCC for speed reduction. Also being considered by Government</b>
no age	<b>more dog waste bins, pathways cleared more often for dog walkers</b> <b>Would need identified areas for bins. Clearing paths for or after dog walkers?</b>
no age	<b>Road past TH Garage is 40pmh but sadly motorcycles are passing my house at more like 140mph especially at weekends</b> <b>Agreed speeds can be excessive despite improved speed limits. Speed watch helps</b>
55-65	<b>footpaths including to Selsfield</b> <b>Unsure if this refers to public footpaths or pavement areas, unlikely to obtain pavement to Selsfield</b>

Q.3.

**HOUSING**

**WHAT TYPE OF HOUSING IS NEEDED IN THE VILLAGE**

**PLEASE TICK ALL RELEVANT BOXES**

PRIVATE TO BUY	PRIVATE TO RENT	HOUSING ASSOCIATION TO RENT OR PART OWN
----------------	-----------------	---

3 BED HOUSES	7	2	2
2 BED HOUSES	7	2	4
1 BED HOUSES	2	2	
2 BED APARTMENTS		2	2
1 BED APARTMENTS		1	1
BUNGALOWS	4		2

AGE

55-65

**no more housing but IF necessary a minimum amount. Keep our views a countryside not as an urban sprawl and No affordable**

**There is a housing need and all developments must contain 30% affordable housing as there is a need for such accommodation amongst residents**

18-25

not completed

35-45

2 not completed

3 bed house to buy and rent      2 bed house to buy and rent

45-55

1 not completed

3 bed house to buy, 1 bed house to buy, 1 bed apartment HA

2 bed house to buy, 1 bed house to buy

55-65

1 not completed

2 bed house and bungalow housing association

3 bed house and 2 bed house to buy, 1 bed house to rent, 1 & 2 bed

apartment to rent

bungalow buy

3, 2, 1 bed houses to buy bungalow to buy

65-75

1 not completed

3 & 2 bed houses & bungalows to buy, 2 bed houses & apartments to

rent, 2 bed houses & apartments HA

3 & 2 bed houses to buy

3 bed houses to buy, rent and housing association

3 & 2 bed houses & 2 bed apartments & bungalows housing association

75

no age

bungalow buy

2 bed houses to buy and 2 bed houses housing association

**Q.4. LEISURE & HEALTH**

Should the play area at the recreation ground be improved

A 

8	8
---	---

Should additional footpaths / cycle routes be provided

B 

15	2
----	---

Should village team sports be supported and should we promote and encourage healthier lifestyles.

C 

16	0
----	---

18-25 stressed cycle routes, No to A, No reply to C

35-45 no reply to A, Yes to B & C

Yes to all

no replies

Yes to all x 2

45-55 No to A & B yes to C

Yes to all

no replies

Yes to all

55-65 no reply to A, Yes to B & C x 5

65-75 Yes to all x 2

no to A yes to B & C

no reply to A&C yes to B

No to A & B yes to C

75 Yes to all

no age Yes to all

55-65 

<b>Footpath Ark to Pump House footpath</b>
--

**Noted but currently not available**

55-65 

<b>Reinstate a youth club early evenings</b>
--

**Is one at Free Church on Fridays, lack of volunteers prevents more**

55-65 

<b>better bus service needed</b>
----------------------------------

**Issue recognised and currently under discussion**

65-75 

<b>increase use of playfield at Ark - supervised</b>
--

**Lack of volunteers or youth sides for football club prevents this currently**

no age 

<b>the guys playing football once a week are leaving selotape all over the playing field</b>
--

**so my dog chokes**

**Noted, field is checked but club will be contacted again on this issue**

**Q.5. ENVIRONMENT**

Ensure the Conservation Area, Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty and views into and out of the village are protected.

A 

16	0
----	---

New housing and industrial areas must meet the requirements of the Village Design Statement as a minimum.

B 

16	0
----	---

Is protecting the green space between us and neighbouring villages and towns essential.

C 

17	0
----	---

- 18-25 no replies
- 35-45 yes to all x 3
- 45-55 yes to all x 2
- 55-65 yes to all x 4
- yes to C no replies rest
- 65-75 yes to all x 5
- 75 yes to all
- no age yes to all

45-55 

We need to support our farm, we lost our dairy farm, a great loss, a few years ago and it would be a tragedy if the farm (Withypitts Farm) was to go
--

**Noted - Council keen to support all local businesses as much as they can**

55-65 

It would be preferable to not have any more housing built in village as it will soon be just an extension of Crawley complete with Crawley type people
--

**Not proposing building works in strategic gaps**

55-65 

Street Lights
---------------

**Unsure if this refers to need for more, less, or improved lighting**

**Q.6. SHOULD WE LOOK AT PROVIDING MORE SMALL SCALE ENTERPRISES IN ORDER TO SECURE LOCAL EMPLOYMENT FOR THOSE LIVING IN THE PARISH.**

11	3
----	---

- 18-25 no replies
- 35-45 1 No 2 yes
- 45-55 1 Yes 1 no reply
- 55-65 3 Yes 2 No
- 65-75 3 Yes 2 no replies
- 75 yes
- no age yes

**Q.7. HIGHWAYS**

**HAVING SEEN THE PLANS CURRENTLY DISPLAYED CONCERNING IMPROVING THE VILLAGE CENTRE CAN YOU**

**A. TELL US IF YOU APPROVE**

141	NO
-----	----

**B. GIVES ANY COMMENTS ON THE SCHEME**

18-25 no reply  
 35-45 1 Yes 1 No 1 no reply  
 45-55 2 Yes 1 no reply  
 55-65 5 Yes  
 65-75 4 Yes 1 no reply  
 75 Yes  
 no age Yes

- 35-45 

Limit urbanisation such as coloured road etc - waste of money and detracts from village charm - but recognise the need to provide decent, safe crossing facilities from Crown to School (Crown used as parking by parents). Raised ramps are not a 'safe' option especially when placed directly on a roundabout where driver concentration is on other vehicles and NOT pedestrians. The proposal in place reminds me of the roundabout in EG at the top of Ship Street where it is almost impossible to cross safely. The lollipop lady is not an option as her times are limited and does not ever include parents returning from school to drop off let alone after school clubs / evening functions etc.
  
- 35-45 

We need a crossing to the school, lollipop lady is not there when school clubs on. Traffic will not stop straight after a roundabout - so so dangerous crossing this bit of road.
  
- 35-45 

Still no crossing in the village centre for pedestrians. The roundabout will mean fewer opportunities to cross in my view.
  
- 45-55 

Why have a roundabout instead of a cross-road when you have diverted a main road to further down the main road. (You have just moved the problem). Not official crossing for school (are you waiting for a death before it is made official)
  
- 55-65 

Do something about exit of Lion Lane at top, parking outside shop on corner. Road across to Grinstead often blocked by traffic travelling south through village. Lion Lane used as a RAT RUN - not good without pavement.
  
- 55-65 

Good idea! Please consider the parking outside the village shop as may be more difficult to turn right out of Lion Lane with extra flow of traffic being directed towards junction opposite.
  
- 55-65 

Definitely close road by Crown. Mini roundabout at Central Stores as well as school junction. I agree with the other Worth / Crawley mini roundabout but would put this one on hold until the other scheme is done. Asking for too much at once is not a good policy.
  
- 65-75 

more advice from central government on road scheme not 1 persons advice and do not block off road in front of Crown. Independent assessor advice on road planning needed
  
- 65-75 

unfortunately inevitable
  
- 65-75 

Disagree with logistics large vehicles crossing passing tip of Green (southern end)
  
- 75 

A roundabout outside Turners Hill Park. A one-way system up Turners Hill Road from Crawley Down and Down Lion Lane.
  
- no age 

Just sooner the roundabout the better (M Edwards Gladstone Villas)
  
- Copthorne Resident 

Good proposal will make junction safer
  
- unknown 

The kerb "build outs" at Lion Lane will block cars getting past those turning right towards East Grinstead as they will sit back at the narrow part of the turn right lane to get an easy swing into the road in front of The Old Manse